

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/586,524		Applicant(s) DARLEY ET AL	
	Examiner Mark W. Bockelman		Art Unit 3766	

All Participants:

(1) Mark W. Bockelman

(2) Michael Verga

Date of Interview: 10 September 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 30, 31, 34, 36-39, 52-56

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner proposed claim language to render the claims consistent with the specification and to eliminate redundancy. See the attached examiner's amendment for the accepted changes.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Mark W Bockelman/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3766

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)